FORM PTO-1390 (REV 10-96)

PARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFF

TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5)

NTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/DG 96 (02 120	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED						
TITLE OF INVENTION VEHICLE DOOR FOR CAR AND TRUCK								
APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US Giole Djien Go								
Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:								
1. This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.								
2. This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.								
This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay								
	examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1). A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.							
A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))								
a. is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).								
b. has been transmitted by the International Bureau.								
c. is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).								
A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).								
Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))								
a. 🛛 are transmitted herewith	a. are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).							
b. have been transmitted by	b. have been transmitted by the International Bureau.							
c. have not been made; how	c. have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.							
d. have not been made and	will not be made.							
A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).								
An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).								
10. A translation of the annexes to the	A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).							
Items 11. to 16. below concern documen		,						
11. An Information Disclosure Staten	nent under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.							
12. An assignment document for reco	An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.							
13. 🗹 A FIRST preliminary amendment	A FIRST preliminary amendment.							
A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT p	reliminary amendment.							
14. A substitute specification.								
15. A change of power of attorney an	d/or address letter.							
16. Other items or information:								
	T/DE0//02120 /1 /	L LDA DOTOTO COMPA						
amendment of claims, two-particle declaration of inventor:	Two copies of translation of PCT/DE96/02120, the concisely German-related PA, PCT/DE96/02120, amendment of claims, two-pages letter to USPTO, letters to WIPO incl. notes, WIPO notification and declaration of inventors.							
Two German PAs DE 4342038	A1 and DE 3726292 C1							
	Translation of the verdicts and replies of German NHSTA (Büro für Kfz Technik) to my both letters							
regarding door detachment, ejection of passengers and increase of vehicle stiffness								
Enclosure "failure of the prior a	rt" due to disengagement of clamping mear	s documented by seven accident						
reports and reports of crash t								
Enclosure "Opposition to the Ve	/3/" and brief explanation to the disengager ardict "Y" of the attached PCT Search Repo	ment of clamping means						
tolerances, three-dimensional	load cases and intrusion of a door of VW	Golf in a side collision						

U.S. APPLICATION NO.	(if known, see 37 CFR 1.5)	PCT/DG 96/02120		ATTORNEYS	DOCKET NUMBER	
	ollowing fees are submitte			CALCULATIO	ONS PTO USE ONLY	
BASIC NATIO	NAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492	(a) (1) - (5)):				
		the EPO or JPO	\$910.00	()		
Internation	al preliminary examination	on fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.	482) \$700.00			
No internat but internat	tional preliminary examina tional search fee paid to U	ation fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR SPTO (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2))	. 1.482) . \$770.00			
Neither inte internationa	ernational preliminary examal search fee (37 CFR 1.44	mination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor (5(a)(2)) paid to USPTO	\$1040.00		•	
Internationa and all clair	al preliminary examination ms satisfied provisions of	n fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.4 PCT Article 33(2)-(4)	82) . \$96.00 _{<}			
ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =			\$ 10:06			
Surcharge of \$13 months from the	80.00 for furnishing the oar earliest claimed priority d	th or declaration later than 2 ate (37 CFR 1.492(e)).	0 30	S		
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE			
Total claims	3 4 - 20 =		X \$22.00	\$ 308	-	
Independent claims	1 34 -3 -	31	X \$80.00	\$ 2480		
MULTIPLE DEP	ENDENT CLAIM(S) (if appl	licable)	+ \$260.00	\$ 260	100	
	TOTAL	OF ABOVE CALCULAT	TIONS =	\$ 4054		
Reduction of 1/2 for filing by small entity, if applicable. Verified Small Entity Statement must also by filed (Note 37 CFR 1.9, 1.27, 1.28).			\$ 2027			
		SUBT	OTAL =	\$ 2027		
Processing fee of months from the	\$130.00 for furnishing the earliest claimed priority da	Fnglish translation later then	20 30	\$		
		TOTAL NATION		\$ 2027		
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property +			\$			
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =			\$ 2027			
				Amount to be:	\$	
				refunded charged	 s 	
a. A check	in the amount of \$	to cover the above	fees is enclosed			
				•		
b. Please ch A duplic	Please charge my Deposit Account No in the amount of S to cover the above feed A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.					
c. The Com	nmissioner is hereby authonent to Deposit Account 1	rized to charge any additional fee No A duplicate	es which may be copy of this she	required, or credit et is enclosed.	any	
NOTE: Where 1.137(a) or (b))	an appropriate time limi must be filed and grante	it under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 d to restore the application to p	has not been mo ending status.	et, a petition to re	vive (37 CFR	
SEND ALL CORRESP	ONDENCE TO:		(Jograh or	nen.	
			SIGNATUR	RE:		
1	DrIng. Glok Djien G	o	G	iole Mien	CO	
	Pfahlgrabenstr. 45 D-65510 Idstein		NAME	100 Dien		
	D-65510 lastein Germany		· · · · · · · ·			
	REGISTRATION NUMBER					



Dr. -Ing. Giok Djien Go

64 Rec. / 860182 /PTO 22 JUN 1997

Pranigrabenstraße 45 phone 0049 6126 8949

ax 0049 6126 52614 at Wolf

gdgpat/pat2/pct-us

RECIGIERED

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box Assignments Washington DC 20231 USA

Preparation for national entry
my ref. PAT2
PCT/DE 96/02120
International filing date 11/07/96
Priority date 11/17/95 of DE 195 43 706 A1
reply of Mrs Carol E. Bidwell of 16 Dec. 96 with the documents to my fax of 12/6/96 and letter of 9/18/96

Dear Sirs,

Three PCT PAs (Patent Applications) filed to WIPO via the receiving PCT of the DPA (German Patent Office) are destined for USA as one of the countries. Mrs Bidwell has approved my both requests to file my own translation and to act as my own attorney. Please consider my financial burden as a private inventor.

Thanks to the experiences gained by having a patent approval of another very comprehensive German PA with 37 claims filed as PCT/DE97/00715 I discovered in my own PCT/DE 96/02120 the need

- to concisely describe as well as translate in compliance with the US Standard by employing the German parameters to set up the border frame within the paper frame, thus downsizing the total German words from 8931 to 7652. Are the set-up parameters acceptable?;
- to correct the principle object, objects and the claims of the invention (see my notes to WIPO and WIPO Notification) so that the examiners can easily comprehend the objects and my invention to resolve the failure of the prior art in the event of real side collision reported by the newspapers and accident reports as attached. Despite my submittance of the amended pages 10 and 11 of the description due to my inexperience in formulating the principle object, the PCT of DPA has, presumably, not transmitted them to WIPO. I issued an attached, registered letter of complaint to the DPA president. I hope you accept this slight amendment;
- to poignantly describe the views and verdicts of Technical Vehicle Office (Büro for Kfz-Technik), the German NHSTA on the invention ref. to DE 4342038 to prevent the ejection of passengers. Later on, the DPA urged me to separate therefrom and to file this PA as an entire PA;
- to concisely explain all above-mentioned accident reports and the publications in enclosure "failure of the prior art" and "publications /2/ and /3/;
- to oppose to the Verdict "Y" of the Search Report in enclosure "Opposition...". You would agree with the theses that door detachment and intrusion are due to the failure of present inventions and a new feature must be invented to resolve this problem.

However, after thoroughly studying your documents I would ask you the following questions:

1. Do I understand that after the success of licencing any one of my ten inventions to a Corp. I should report to you in order to reverse the eligibility for small entity and pay the difference? Two car makers, one of them Opel/GM, have given me a written statement that they are investigating my ten PAs. I am willing to pay the full fees upon the success of licencing.